

Title	Bibliography: VGE, Table evaluation, DCS risk and long term effects		
Company	AZOTH SYSTEMS		
Reference	Biblio_PRO_site_en_AM_Vf		
Status	Approved		
Version	/		

	Name	Function	Date	Visa
Written by	Asya METELKINA	RE	10/02/2020	/
Review	Julien HUGON	RE	10/02/2020	/
Approved	Axel BARBAUD	CEO	10/02/2020	/



Context

By its nature, the Industry searches to attain the two main goals: the performance and the safety. Working targets and operational constraints define the level of performance under safety conditions. In commercial diving, underwater construction and tunnelling, the security constraints are particularly important because these activities are associated with numerous risks, a part of which comes from the <u>hyperbaric exposure</u> of workers.

Indeed, the hyperbaric exposure during a dive or the work in a compressed air environment leads to the production of microbubbles in worker's body during the decompression. Under the effect of pressure, body tissues (muscles, fat etc.) are progressively charged with inert gas, generally azote from air or nitrox, which is liberated during the decompression and generates microbubbles. The bubbles grow, penetrate the blood circulation and, in some cases, they can become pathogenic and lead to a decompression sickness accident (DCS).

The <u>bubbles are not synonymous of an accident</u> because the human body possesses the numerous mechanisms for eliminating them: they are filtered out by the lungs and eliminated with the respiration. Nevertheless, a great quantity of bubbles significantly increases the risk of an accident because the bubbles can overpass the lung filter and penetrate the arterial circulation or block the blood vessels, while the bubbles in tissues can grow enough to damage the tissues and/or trigger an inflammatory response.

Bubbles in evaluation of tables and procedures

For more than 30 years, **Defence Research and Development Canada (DRDC, previously DCIEM)**, Institute of Environmental Medicine of the University of Pennsylvania (IFEM) and British Health and Safety Executive (HSE) **have evaluated the level of decompression stress of diving procedures and tables by measuring the circulating bubbles detectable in blood vessels after exposure [1-7].**

Those <u>venous bubbles (VGE)</u> are detected with the Doppler ultrasound (audio detection) or with the echography (imaging) and their number is evaluated on a non-linear scale quantified by grades ranging from 0 (absence of bubbles) to 4 (very numerous bubbles) according to the standard grading systems (Kisman-Masurel [8] or Spencer [9] for audio signals, Eftedal-Brubakk [10] for imaging).

The bubbles grades give an <u>indication of decompression stress</u> because they reflect, at least partially, the quantity of bubbles produced by the organism and their elimination. The numerous studies have shown a strong <u>association between the quantity of bubbles and the risk of DCS</u> [9,11-21]. Even if high bubble grades are a poor predictor of the occurrence of an accident [22], the absence of VGE is a good safety indicator. For example, within 3234 air, nitrox and heliox dives with 73 DCS analyzed in [18], 66 cases of DCS were associated with grades 3 or 4 (7.2% DCS rate), while only one DCS case was registered for bubble grade 0 (0.07% DCS rate).

British HSE organized two scientific meetings with working groups on security of industrial diving [6] and compressed air works [7]. These two conferences confirmed the interest of the VGE measurements with Doppler ultrasound in the evaluation of the quality of procedures in laboratory and field conditions. They have concluded that the evaluation must be founded upon the statistics of bubbles production, especially in <u>the beginning of each project</u> and in case of <u>modification of working conditions</u> [7].



Based upon a historical data of bubble measurements and DCS cases for different exposures by combining them with the mathematical modelling tools (bubble growth model [23] and Flook model [24,25]), the following acceptance criteria for procedures in terms of VGE were proposed:

<u>For under-saturation diving</u>: DRDC fixed experimentally the threshold of <u>50% of grades 2 or higher</u> to distinguish the acceptable procedures from risky ones [4]. [26] estimated that the threshold of at least <u>50% of grades 3 or 4</u> on a given exposure would insure the level of risk below 5%. <u>For compressed air works</u>: a criterion of <u>20% of grade 3 or 4</u> observed in the whole of workers was proposed as an indicator of procedure necessitating a detailed analysis or an eventual revision [7]. Nevertheless, the authors pointed out that some procedures with good historical statistics of DCS (with few or no accidents reported) could eventually generate up to 60% of grades 1 or 2 and sometimes up to 10 % of grades 3.

Examples of VGE use in evaluation of procedures

The above criteria were used, for example, in [27] for showing that a surface decompression procedure (SurDO2) for a dive to 39.4m for 30 min with moderate work load produced high bubble levels: from Doppler measurements on a sample of divers, the authors have estimated that with 95% probability more than 50% of divers would produce a grade 3 or higher on this exposure. It was suggested to use a longer decompression to insure the sufficient elimination of muscular bubbles for this exposure.

In tunnelling, the air was replaced with the oxygen for decompression (sequence of 20 min oxygen/5 minutes air) with Blackpool table after the series of VGE measurements made by HSE showing a high level of risk associated to the air decompression (median grade 3) and its significant reduction with oxygen (median grade 0) [28]. The Doppler ultra-sound was also used in field conditions to insure the safety after operational modification imposed by the TBM reparation needs during the Western Scheldt Tunnelling Project in Netherlands (sub-saturation exposures were replaced by longer saturation exposures, with the use of Trimix in both situations) [29].

Bayesian approach to the relationship between VGE and DCS

In [30] the authors proposed a Bayesian approach to prediction of DCS risk using the bubbles measurements, having the advantage to combine the previous knowledge of bubbles production and the relationship between VGE and DCS with the results of new bubbles measurements:

« we present a method for estimating <u>DCS risk</u> of a decompression procedure based on observation of <u>intravascular gas bubbles</u> in test subjects and the <u>correspondence between gas bubbles and DCS risk</u> that has been established previously. The method makes use of Bayesian statistics to combine test observations with previous knowledge and assumptions. »

The data of 1726 experimental dives with air and nitrox [18] was used to estimate the DCS risk for each bubble grade. The authors applied the Bayesian computation to estimate the probability of DCS on a given exposure from the bubble measurements in a group of divers on this exposure and the prior for bubbles production extracted from 274 dives from [5]. The advantage of this method is that with a small number of trials (7-15) without DCS one can compare two procedures and get the first estimation of DCS risk. For example, with this method the authors estimated the DCS risk to be 4.6% (95%CI: 1.5%-



7.9%) on a procedure after just 7 exposures without DCS, but with 4 dives within 7 accompanied with a grade 3 or 4. The questionable hypothesis of this method is the conditional independence of DCS risk of exposure given the bubble grades. In other words, one supposed two exposures with the identical VGE statistics have exactly the same DCS risk.

VGE, DCS and exposure parameters

[31] have analysed the data of air and nitrox dives from DRDC database from a different point of view: the authors showed that the prediction of risk of DCS necessitates to take into account both the bubble grades and severity of exposure [32], measured in terms of depth and duration of dive. Moreover, the authors compared the measurements from subclavian area with precordial ones not only from the point of view of agreement of two measures, but also as the indicators of DCS risk, and concluded that subclavian VGE are as good as precordial as DCS risk indicators. This result is in accordance with the observations of [18], even if the subclavian measurements are generally considered as complementary and used to improve the sensitivity of the precordial measures [33].

Long term effects of diving and subclinical VGE

There is growing evidence of long-term effects of subclinical VGE ("silent bubbles"). Several small studies are pointing on endothelial and neuropsychological damages produced by the circulating bubbles.

Several animal and human studies have shown reduced endothelial function after exposure to vascular bubbles [34,35,36], suggesting that this effect may be a central mechanism in the development of serious decompression injury, and possibly also in long-term injury [37]. [38] reported endothelial dysfunction and increased generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) after successive trimix dives.

In a small number of recreational divers [39] showed an association between the subclinical circulating bubbles with an acute increase of platelets and leukocytes, indicating an activation of reactive system. An acute increase in plasma activity of ACE was also observed in subjects with circulating bubbles [39], that could be a marker of endothelial cell stress and damage. [40] showed an increased arterial pulmonary pressure in divers with post-dive circulating bubbles, the authors hypotized a mechanism of an increase vascular resistances caused by microembolisation.

Cerebral lesions were observed in experienced military divers [41] and white-matter lesions in experienced recreational divers were associated with neuropsychological damages (decrease in short-term memory and higher cognitive function) in [42,43] indicating that repeated hyperbaric exposure increases the risk of white-matter damage.

Conclusion

The literature reviewed here shown the interest of Doppler-detected VGE for the evaluation and the improvement of security in commercial diving and compressed air works. This is the only objective quantifiable measure of decompression stress, which serves to indicate stressful procedures well before the first occurrence of DCS. For more than 30 years the official regulatory and military institutions (HSE, IFEM, DRDC, French Navy) worked on guidelines to the use of bubble measurements



for procedures evaluation, with several criteria proposed to insure the safety. Those criteria are still empirical, with the main weak point is that they are based upon VGE statistics, while ignoring the inherent risk associated to the exposure expressed by the exposure parameters.

Azoth Systems integrated this knowledge together with the analysis of data of thousands of exposures to develop a combined approach taking into the account both the intrinsic risk associated with the procedure and the statistics of Doppler-detected venous bubbles.



Bibliography

[1] Lauckner GR, Nishi RY, Eatock BC. Evaluation of the DCIEM 1983 decompression model for compressed air diving (series A-F). DCIEM Report n 84-R-72. Downsview, Ontario, Canada: Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine; 1984. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[2] Lauckner GR, Nishi RY, Eatock BC. Evaluation of the DCIEM 1983 decompression model for compressaed air diving (series G-K). DCIEM Report n 84-R-73. Downsview, Ontario, Canada: Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine; 1984. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[3] Lauckner GR, Nishi RY, Eatock BC. Evaluation of the DCIEM 1983 decompression model for compressed air diving (series L-Q). DCIEM Report n° 85-R-18. Downsview, Ontario, Canada: Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine; 1985. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[4] Nishi R.Y., Eatock B.C., The role of ultrasonic bubble detection in table validation. In: Schreiner H.R., Hamilton R.W., editors. Validation of decompression tables. Proceedings of the 37th Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society Workshop, UHMS Publication 74(VAL)1-1-88. Bethesda, MA: Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society; 1989. p. 133–7. – <u>ABSTRACT</u>

[5] Lambertsen C. J., Nishi R. Y., Hopkin E. J., Relationships of Doppler venous gas embolism to decompression sickness. Environmental Biomedical Research Data Center, Institute for Environmental Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Medical Center ; 1997, Report No 7-10-1997. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[6] Simpson ME. , HSE Workshop on decompression safety. November 1998 London: Health and Safety Executive ; 1999, HSE Research Report OTO 1999 007. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[7] Jones A.D., Miller B.G., Colvin A.P., Evaluation of Doppler monitoring for the control of hyperbaric exposure in tunnelling ; 2007, HSE Research Report OTO 2007 0598. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[8] Kisman K. E., Masurel G., Guillerm R., *Bubble evaluation code for Doppler ultrasonic decompression data*, Undersea Biomedediacl Research, 1978, vol. 5(1),pp. 28.

[9] Spencer M.P., Johanson D.C., *Investigation of new principles for human decompression schedules using the Doppler ultrasonic blood bubble detector*, Technical Report to ONR on Contract N00014-73-C-0094. Seatlle, WA: Institute for Environmental Medicine and Physiology, 1974. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

 [10] Eftedal O., Brubakk A. O., Agreement between trained and untrained observers in grading intravascular bubble signals in ultrasonic images, Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine, 1997, vol.
24(4), pp. 293-299. <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[11] Nashimoto I, Gototh Y. Ultrasonic Doppler detection of blood bubbles in caisson work. In: Pearson R, editor. Early diagnosis of decompressions. Proceedings of the Twelfth Undersea Medical Society Workhsop. UMS 7-30-77. Bethesda MD: Undersea Medical Society; 1977. p. 171–83.

 [12] Nashimoto I, Gotoh Y. Relationship between precordial Doppler ultrasound records and decompression sickness. In: Shilling CW, Beckett MW, editors. Underwater physiology VI:
Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium on Underwater Physiology. Bethesda, Maryland: Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology; 1978. p. 497–501.



[13] Powell MR, Johanson DC. Ultrasound monitoring and decompression sickness. In: Shilling CW, Beckett MW, editors. Underwater physiology VI: Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium on Underwater Physiology. Bethesda, Maryland: Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology; 1978. p. 503–10.

[14] Gardette B. Correlation between decompression sickness and circulating bubbles in 232 divers. Undersea Biomedical Research. 1979; 6:99–107. – <u>ABSTRACT</u>

[15] Vann RD, Dick AP, Barry PD. Doppler bubble measurements and decompression sickness. Undersea Biomed Res. 1982; 9(Suppl 1): S24. – <u>ABSTRACT</u>

[16] Eatock BC. Correspondence between intravascular bubbles and symptoms of decompression sickness. Undersea Biomedical Research. 1984; 11:326-9.

[17] Masurel G. Contribution à l'étude du rôle physiopathologique des bulles générées chez l'animal et chez l'homme par un séjour en atmosphère hyperbare. PhD Thesis, Lyon, Claude Bernard-Lyon I University; 1987. (in french).

[18] Sawatzky KD. The relationship between intravascular Doppler-detected gas bubbles and decompression sickness after bounce diving in humans. M.Sc. Thesis, York University, Toronto; 1991.

[19] Sawatzky KD. Nishi RD. Intravascular Doppler-detected bubbles and decompression sickness. Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society, Inc. Joint Annual Scientific Meeting with the International Congress for Hyperbaric Medicine and the European Undersea Biomedical Society held 11-18 August 1990. Okura Hotel, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. – <u>ABSTRACT</u>

 [20] Conkin J, Powell MR, Foster PP, Waligora JM. Information about venous gas emboli improves prediction of hypobaric decompression sickness. Aviation, Space and Environmental Medicine. 1998;
69:8–16. – <u>ABSTRACT</u>

[21] Pilmanis AA, Kannan N, Krause KM, Webb JT. Relating venous gas emboli (VGE) scores to altitude decompression sickness (DCS) symptoms. [Abstract]. Aviation, Space and Environmental Medicine. 1999; pp. 70-364.

[22] Doolette D.J. Venous gas emboli detected by two-dimensional echocardiography are an imperfect surrogate endpoint for decompression sickness. Diving and Hyperbaric Medicine. 2016;
46(1): pp. 4–10. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[23] Gernhardt M.L, Development and Evaluation of a Decompression Stress Index Based on Tissue Bubble Dynamics. Ph.D Thesis, University of Pennsylvania ; 1991, UMI #9211935. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[24] Flook V., Brubakk A.O. Validation of a mathematical model of decompression gas phase. SINTEF Unimed UK. STF78 F96104 1996.

[25] Flook V. Application of an advanced physiological model of decompression in the evaluation of decompression stress. Health and Safety Executive ; 1998, Report OTO 98 090 1998. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[26] Eftedal OS, Lydersen S, Brubakk AO. The relationship between venous gas bubbles and adverse effects of decompression after air dives. Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine. 2007; 34:99–105. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>



[27] Flook V., Decompression Trials in National Hyperbaric Center ; 1999, London : Offshore Technology Report -OTO 1999 053. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[28] Flook V., Trials of a Blackpool table decompression with oxygen as the breathing gas. Prepared by UNIMED Scientific Limited for the Health and Safety Executive ; 2001, Contract Research Report 369/2001. – <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[29] Vellinga, T. P., Sterk, W., de Boer, A. G., van der Beek, A. J., Verhoeven, A. C., van Dijk, F. J., Doppler ultrasound surveillance in deep tunneling compressed-air work with Trimix breathing: bounce dive technique compared to saturation-excursion technique. Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine ; 2008, vol. 35(6), pp. 407-416.- <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[30] Eftedal, O. S., Tjelmeland, H., & Brubakk, A. O., Validation of decompression procedures based on detection of venous gas bubbles: a Bayesian approach. Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine; 2007, vol. *78*(2), pp. 94-99.

[31] Hugon J., Metelkina A., Barbaud A., Nishi R., Bouak F., Blatteau J. E., Gempp, E., Reliability of venous gas embolism detection in the subclavian area for decompression stress assessment following scuba diving. Diving and Hyperbaric Medicine; 2018, vol. 48(3), pp 132-140. – FULL TEXT

[32] Shannon, J. S. The relationship of inert gas and venous gas emboli to decompression sickness. M.Sc. Thesis, Duke University; 2003. –<u>FULL TEXT</u>

[33] Møllerløkken, A., Blogg, S. L., Doolette, D. J., Nishi, R. Y., & Pollock, N. W., Consensus guidelines for the use of ultrasound for diving research. Diving and hyperbaric medicine ; 2016, vol. 46(1), pp.26-32. – <u>ABSTRACT</u>

[34] Nossum, V., Koteng ,S., Brubakk ,A.O. Endothelial damage by bubbles in the pulmonary artery of the pig; Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine; 1999, vol. 26(1): pp. 1-8. -<u>ABSTRACT</u>

[35] Nossum, V., Hjelde, A., Brubakk, A.O. Small amounts of venous gas embolism cause delayed impairment of endothelial function and increase polymorphonuclear neutrophil infiltration. European Journal of Applied Physiology; 2002, vol. 86(3): pp 209-214. -<u>FULL TEXT</u>

[36] Brubakk, A.O., Duplancic ,D., Valic ,Z., Palada, I., Obad, A., Bakovic, D., Wisloff, U., Dujic, Z. A single air dive reduces arterial endothelial function in man. The Journal of Physiology; 2005, vol. 566: pp. 901–906. - <u>FULL TEXT</u>

[37] Brubakk AO, Eftedal OS, Wisløff U (2007) Endothelium and diving.In: Aird W (ed) Handbook of the endothelium. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge -<u>ABSTRACT</u>

[38] Obad, A., Marinovic, J., Ljubkovic, M. Breskovic, T., Modun, D., Boban, M., Dujic, Z. Successive deep dives impair endothelial functionand enhance oxidative stress in man. Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging; 2010, vol. 30: pp. 432–438 -<u>FULL TEXT</u>

[39] Marabotti, C., Chiesa, F., Scalzini, A., Antonelli, F., Lari, R., Franchini, C., Data, P.G. Cardiac and humoral changes induced by recreational scuba diving. Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine; 1999 vol. 26(3): pp 151-8. -<u>ABSTRACT</u>

[40] Marabotti, C., Scalzini, A., Chiesa, F. Increase of pulmonary arterial pressure in subjects with venous gas emboli after uncomplicated recreational SCUBA diving. Respiratory Medicine; 2013 vol. 107(4): pp. 596-600.-FULL TEXT



[41] Erdem, I., Yildiz, Ş., Uzun, G., Sonmez, G., Senol, M.G., Mutluoğlu, M., Mutlu, H., & Oner, B. Cerebral white-matter lesions in asymptomatic military divers. Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine ;2009, vol. 80(1), pp. 2-4. -<u>FULL TEXT</u>

[42] Balestra, C, Germonpré P. Correlation between Patent Foramen Ovale, Cerebral "Lesions" and Neuropsychometric Testing in Experienced Sports Divers: Does Diving Damage the Brain? Front Psychol. 2016 May 11;7:696. -<u>FULL TEXT</u>

[43] Seyithanoğlu, M.H., Abdallah, A., Dündar, T.T., Kitiş, S., Aralaşmak, A., Gündağ Papaker, M.,
Sasani, H.. Investigation of Brain Impairment Using Diffusion-Weighted and Diffusion Tensor
Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Experienced Healthy Divers; Medical Science Monitor; 2018, vol. 24:
pp. 8279-8289. - FULL TEXT